

Glueball Spectrum from $N_f = 2$ Lattice QCD Study on Anisotropic Lattices

Wei Sun
(for the CLQCD Collaboration)

Institute of High Energy Physics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences

Lattice 2017, June 18-24, Granada, Spain

Contents

1. Introduction
2. The Lowest-lying glueball spectrum in $N_f = 2$ LQCD
 - 2.1 Ground state scalar, pseudoscalar and tensor glueballs
 - 2.2 Further study of pseudoscalar channel
3. More about scalar state
4. Summary

Non- $q\bar{q}$ meson states

- ▶ Conventional quark model classifies $q\bar{q}$ bound states into $SU(N_f)$ flavor multiplets.
- ▶ Non-Abelian nature of QCD suggests other non- $q\bar{q}$ meson states.
- ▶ **Glueball:**
bound state of gluons
- ▶ **Hybrid:**
 $q\bar{q}$ with excited gluon
- ▶ **Tetraquark or Molecular:**
color-singlet of $qq\bar{q}\bar{q}$
- ▶ **Six-quark or Baryonium:**
color-singlet of $qqq\bar{q}\bar{q}\bar{q}$

Glueball studies in Lattice QCD

Quenched approximation:

- ▶ B.Berg and A.Billoire, *Nuclear Physics* **B221** (1983):109-140
- ▶ C.Morningstar and M.Peardon, *Phys.Rev.***D56**(1997):4043-4061
- ▶ C.Morningstar and M.Peardon, *Phys.Rev.***D60**(1999)034509
- ▶ H.B.Meyer and M.J.Teper, *Phys.Lett.***B605**(2005)344-345
- ▶ Y.Chen et al, *Phys.Rev.***D73**(2006)014516
- ▶ ...


Dynamical sea quark:

- ▶ G.S.Bali et al, *Phys.Rev.***D62**(2000)054503
- ▶ A.Hart and M.Teper, *Phys.Rev.***D65**(2002)034502
- ▶ **UKQCD**, *Phys.Rev.***D82**(2010)034501
- ▶ E.Gregory et al, *JHEP* **10**(2012)170
- ▶ ...

Lowest-lying glueballs in quenched LQCD

- ▶ Lowest states with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}, 2^{++}, 0^{-+}$
- ▶ Masses around 1.7 GeV, 2.4 GeV and 2.6 GeV respectively.

[Y. Chen et al, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 014516(2006)]



BESIII results on J/ψ radiative decay

- ▶ Radiative decay of J/ψ is a gluon rich process.
- ▶ BESIII Collaboration observed isosinglet scalar, pseudoscalar and tensor resonances in

$$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta \text{ and } J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi.$$

[M.Ablík et al, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 092009 (2013)]

Resonance	Mass(MeV/ c^2)	Width(MeV/ c^2)	$\mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X \rightarrow \gamma\eta\eta)$	Significance
$f_0(1500)$	1468^{+14+23}_{-15-74}	$136^{+41+29}_{-36-100}$	$(1.65^{+0.26+0.51}_{-0.31-1.40}) \times 10^{-3}$	8.2 σ
$f_0(1710)$	$1759 \pm 6^{+14}_{-25}$	$172 \pm 10^{+32}_{-18}$	$(2.35^{+0.13+1.24}_{-0.11-0.74}) \times 10^{-4}$	25.0 σ
$f_0(2100)$	$2081 \pm 13^{+24}_{-36}$	273^{+27+70}_{-24-23}	$(1.13^{+0.09+0.04}_{-0.10-0.28}) \times 10^{-4}$	13.9 σ
$f'_2(1525)$	$1513 \pm 5^{+4}_{-10}$	75^{+12+10}_{-10-9}	$(3.42^{+0.23+1.37}_{-0.31-1.36}) \times 10^{-3}$	11.0 σ
$f_2(1810)$	1822^{+29+58}_{-24-57}	$229^{+32+88}_{-42-116}$	$(5.40^{+0.99+3.42}_{-0.97-3.25}) \times 10^{-3}$	6.4 σ
$f_2(2340)$	$2362^{+31+140}_{-30-83}$	$334^{+62+105}_{-54-100}$	$(5.60^{+0.62+2.37}_{-0.65-2.67}) \times 10^{-3}$	7.6 σ

[M.Ablík et al, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 112011 (2016)]

Resonance	M(MeV/ c^2)	Γ (MeV/ c^2)	B.F. ($\times 10^{-2}$)	Sig.
$\eta(2225)$	$2216^{+4}_{-4} \pm 1^{+21}_{-11}$	$185^{+12}_{-14} \pm 1^{+14}_{-17}$	$(2.40 \pm 0.10)^{+0.47}_{-0.18}$	28 σ
$\eta(2100)$	$2050^{+39}_{-24} \pm 7^{+75}_{-26}$	$250^{+36}_{-36} \pm 1^{+81}_{-104}$	$(3.30 \pm 0.09)^{+0.18}_{-0.64}$	22 σ
$X(2500)$	$2470^{+5}_{-19} \pm 10^{+0}_{-23}$	$230^{+64}_{-35} \pm 5^{+58}_{-33}$	$(0.17 \pm 0.02)^{+0.03}_{-0.08}$	8.8 σ
$f_0(2100)$	2101	224	$(0.43 \pm 0.04)^{+0.24}_{-0.03}$	24 σ
$f_2(2010)$	2011	202	$(0.35 \pm 0.05)^{+0.28}_{-0.15}$	9.5 σ
$f_2(2300)$	2297	149	$(0.44 \pm 0.07)^{+0.05}_{-0.15}$	6.4 σ
$f_2(2340)$	2339	319	$(1.91 \pm 0.14)^{+0.16}_{-0.75}$	11 σ
0^{-+} PHSP			$(2.74 \pm 0.15)^{+0.16}_{-1.48}$	6.8 σ

Lattice study on J/ψ radiatively decay into glueballs

- ▶ From experimental $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X \rightarrow \gamma \pi\pi$, $X \rightarrow \pi\pi$ we can estimate

$$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1710)) = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1500)) = 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$$

- ▶ Quenched LQCD predicted the J/ψ radiatively decay into **scalar** glueball with branching ratio :

[L.C Gui et al, CLQCD Collaboration, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **110** (2013) no.2, 021601]

$$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma G_{0++}) = 3.8(9) \times 10^{-3},$$

which suggested the $f_0(1710)$ as scalar glueball candidate.

- ▶ J/ψ radiative decay into **tensor** glueball gives
- [Yi-Bo Yang et al, CLQCD Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 091601 (2013)]

$$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma G_{2++}) = 1.1(2) \times 10^{-2}$$

relatively large branching ratio.

Gauge configuration details

- ▶ $N_f = 2$ anisotropic gauge configuration.
- ▶ Tadpole-improved gauge action and Clover-improved Wilson fermion action.
- ▶ Ground state 0^{++} , 2^{++} , 0^{-+} was investigated in our study.

[W. Sun et al, CLQCD Collaboration, arXiv:1702.08174]

Table: Parameters of configurations


β	$L^3 \times T$	ξ	a_s	m_π	N_{conf}
2.5	$12^3 \times 128$	5	$0.114 fm$	~ 650 MeV	4800
2.5	$12^3 \times 128$	5	$0.118 fm$	~ 938 MeV	10400

- └ The Lowest-lying glueball spectrum in $N_f = 2$ LQCD
 - └ Ground state scalar, pseudoscalar and tensor glueballs

Glueball operators construction

- ▶ Particle states denoted by J^{PC} in continuum
- ▶ $SU(2)(\text{continuum}) \xrightarrow{\text{reduction}} {}^2O(\text{lattice})$
- ▶ Glueballs are bosons (${}^2O \rightarrow O$)
- ▶ Octahedral group O has five IRs, A_1, A_2, E, T_1, T_2 , denoted by R
- ▶ Subduced representation of $SU(2)$ with respect to group O is generally reducible ($J \geq 2$)
- ▶ $R \leftrightarrow J$ ($A_1 \rightarrow J = 0, J = 4, \dots$)
- ▶ Assuming that the ground state on the lattice corresponds to the lowest spin state in continuum
- ▶ Using different spatial oriented Wilson loops to construct glueball operators with quantum number denoted by R^{PC}

R \ J	0	1	2	3	4	5
A_1	1	0	0	0	1	0
A_2	0	0	0	1	0	0
E	0	0	1	0	1	1
T_1	0	1	0	1	1	2
T_2	0	0	1	1	1	1



[C.J. Morningstar and M.J. Peardon, *Phys. Rev. D* 60, 034509(1999)]

Effective mass plateaus

- ▶ 24 operators $\phi_\alpha^{(R^{PC})}$ for each R^{PC}
- ▶ Use the variational method to get a optimal operator $\Phi_i^{R^{PC}}$
- ▶ The optimal correlation function is

$$\tilde{C}_i^{(R^{PC})}(t) = \sum_{\tau} \langle 0 | \Phi_i^{(R^{PC})}(t + \tau) \Phi_i^{(R^{PC})}(\tau) | 0 \rangle,$$



- ▶ Use two state union fit

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_1^{(R^{PC})}(t) &= W_{11}^{(R^{PC})} e^{-m_1 t} + W_{12}^{(R^{PC})} e^{-m_2 t}, \\ \tilde{C}_2^{(R^{PC})}(t) &= W_{21}^{(R^{PC})} e^{-m_1 t} + W_{22}^{(R^{PC})} e^{-m_2 t}, \end{aligned}$$

└ The Lowest-lying glueball spectrum in $N_f = 2$ LQCD



└ Ground state scalar, pseudoscalar and tensor glueballs

Effective mass plateaus (A_1^{++} & A_1^{-+})



A_1^{++}



A_1^{-+}




└ The Lowest-lying glueball spectrum in $N_f = 2$ LQCD

└ Ground state scalar, pseudoscalar and tensor glueballs


Effective mass plateaus (E^{++} & T_2^{++})



E^{++}





T_2^{++}



- └ The Lowest-lying glueball spectrum in $N_f = 2$ LQCD
- └ Ground state scalar, pseudoscalar and tensor glueballs

Fitted results



	m_π (MeV)	$m_{0^{++}}$ (MeV)	$m_{2^{++}}$ (MeV)	$m_{0^{-+}}$ (MeV)
$N_f = 2$	938	1397(25)	2367(35)	2559(50)
[this work]	650	1480(52)	2380(61)	2605(52)
$N_f = 2 + 1$	360	1795(60)	2620(50)	—
[E. Gregory]				
quenched	—	1710(50)(80)	2390(30)(120)	2560(35)(120)
[C. Morningstar]				
quenched	—	1730(50)(80)	2400(25)(120)	2590(40)(130)
[Y. Chen]				

Fitted results

Table: Ground state meson spectrum

$m_{PS}(\text{MeV})$	$m_V(\text{MeV})$	$m_S(\text{MeV})$	$m_{G^{0^{++}}}(\text{MeV})$
650(4)	993(16)	1362(53)	1480(52)
938(3)	1164(10)	1473(28)	1397(25)

- ▶ 0^{++} glueball mass is relatively lighter than previous results and close to the $0^{++} q\bar{q}$ meson mass on our lattice (actually isovector a_0).
- ▶ Relatively large mass **above 2 GeV** for pseudoscalar glueball
- ▶ Physical isosinglet pseudoscalar **around 1 GeV**
- ▶ Further study on pseudoscalar state by **topological charge density**
- ▶ Heavy quark mass, **sea quark effects?**

η' v.s. pseudoscalar glueball

- ▶ $U(1)_A$ anomaly gives



$$\partial_\mu A^\mu(x) = 2mP(x) - \frac{N_f}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}$$

- ▶ topological charge density operator is defined as

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma}$$

- ▶ correlation of $q(x)$

$$C_q(x-y) = \langle q(x)q(y) \rangle$$



└ The Lowest-lying glueball spectrum in $N_f = 2$ LQCD

└ Further study of pseudoscalar channel

η' v.s. pseudoscalar glueball


m_π	fit range(a_s)	$m_{\eta'} a_s$	$m_{\eta'}$ (MeV)	χ^2/dof
938 MeV	3.74-5.92	0.856(21)	1481(36)	1.01
650 MeV	3.87-5.48	0.514(22)	890(38)	1.43

- For quenched study, the flavor singlet pseudoscalar mass agrees with **pseudoscalar glueball**.

[A. Chowdhury et al., *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015)074507]

- $N_f = 2 + 1$ result agrees with **physical η'** .

[H. Fukaya et al, *Phy. Rev. D* **92 (R)**, 111501 (2015)]



η' v.s. pseudoscalar glueball

- ▶ The continuum form of our pseudoscalar glueball operator is

$$\phi^{A_1^{-+}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \sim \epsilon_{ijk} \text{Tr} B_i(\mathbf{x}, t) D_j B_k(\mathbf{x}, t) + O(a_s^2)$$



- ▶ Topological charge density operator goes like

$$q(x) \propto \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\rho\sigma} \propto \mathbf{E}(x) \cdot \mathbf{B}(x)$$

- ▶ The large difference of our glueball and η' mass can be explained.

Preliminary results on dispersion relation

- ▶ Single particle or multi-particle state?
- ▶ Dispersion relation of one-particle and lowest free two pion state



Mixing of flavor singlet $q\bar{q}$ meson and glueball in progress

- ▶ The scalar $q\bar{q}$ meson (actually isovector a_0) mass on our lattice is very close to the obtained scalar glueball mass.
- ▶ Mixing of glueball and isosinglet $q\bar{q}$ meson may need be considered.
- ▶ Disconnected quark loops can be calculated by wall source technique without gauge fixing.







Table: Ground state meson spectrum

m_{PS} (MeV)	m_V (MeV)	m_S (MeV)	$m_{G^{0++}}$ (MeV)
650(4)	993(16)	1362(53)	1480(52)
938(3)	1164(10)	1473(28)	1397(25)



Summary

- ▶ The lowest-lying spectrum of 0^{++} , 0^{-+} and 2^{++} glueballs have been calculated in $N_f = 2$ lattice QCD with $m_\pi \sim 650$ MeV and $m_\pi \sim 938$ MeV.
- ▶ Results of 2^{++} and 0^{-+} states are consistent with quenched lattice results.
- ▶ The 0^{-+} glueball mass are much larger than ground state flavor singlet 0^{-+} meson.
- ▶ Mixing of scalar glueball and flavor singlet scalar mesons is in progress.

Thank you!

Glueball operators construction

- ▶ Operators with R^{PC} quantum number are linear combinations of Wilson loops
 - ▶ $P = \pm$, $C = +$

$$\phi_i^{R^{PC}} = \sum_{g \in O} c_R \text{ReTr}[g \circ W_i(\mathbf{x}, t) \pm \mathcal{P}g \circ W_i(\mathbf{x}, t)\mathcal{P}^{-1}]$$

- ▶ $P = \pm$, $C = -$

$$\phi_i^{R^{PC}} = \sum_{g \in O} c_R \text{ImTr}[g \circ W_i(\mathbf{x}, t) \pm \mathcal{P}g \circ W_i(\mathbf{x}, t)\mathcal{P}^{-1}]$$

where $W_i(\mathbf{x}, t)$ denote prototype of Wilson loop, g is vector representation of group O , \mathcal{P} is space inversion, c_R is combination coefficient based on group theory.

Lattice study on J/ψ radiatively decay into glueballs

For **scalar** glueball candidates $f_0(1500)$ and $f_0(1710)$, the experimental result of J/ψ radiative decay are as following:
 [C. Patrignani et al.(Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C, 40, 100001 (2016)]

decay channel	branching ratio
$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$	$(1.09 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-4}$
$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1500) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$	$(1.7^{+0.6}_{-1.4}) \times 10^{-5}$
$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma K \bar{K}$	$(1.00^{+0.11}_{-0.09}) \times 10^{-3}$
$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \pi \pi$	$(3.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$
$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \omega \omega$	$(3.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$
$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1710) \rightarrow \gamma \eta \eta$	$(2.4^{+1.2}_{-0.7}) \times 10^{-4}$

Lattice study on J/ψ radiatively decay into glueballs

- ▶ Branching ratio of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X$ (X for f_0 etc.):

$$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X) = \frac{Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X \rightarrow \gamma PP)}{Br(X \rightarrow PP)}$$

Lattice study on J/ψ radiatively decay into glueballs

- ▶ Branching ratio of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X$ (X for f_0 etc.):

$$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X) = \frac{Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma X \rightarrow \gamma PP)}{Br(X \rightarrow PP)}$$

decay channel	branching ratio	$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1500))$
$f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi\pi$	$(34.9 \pm 2.3)\%$	3.1×10^{-4}
$f_0(1500) \rightarrow \eta\eta$	$(5.1 \pm 0.9)\%$	3.3×10^{-4}
decay channel	branching ratio	$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1710))$
$f_0(1710) \rightarrow K\bar{K}$	0.36 ± 0.12	2.8×10^{-3}
$f_0(1710) \rightarrow \eta\eta$	0.22 ± 0.12	1.1×10^{-3}
$f_0(1710) \rightarrow \pi\pi$	0.15	2.5×10^{-3}

Lattice study on J/ψ radiatively decay into glueballs

decay channel	branching ratio	$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1500))$
$f_0(1500) \rightarrow \pi\pi$	$(34.9 \pm 2.3)\%$	3.1×10^{-4}
$f_0(1500) \rightarrow \eta\eta$	$(5.1 \pm 0.9)\%$	3.3×10^{-4}
decay channel	branching ratio	$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma f_0(1710))$
$f_0(1710) \rightarrow KK$	0.36 ± 0.12	2.8×10^{-3}
$f_0(1710) \rightarrow \eta\eta$	0.22 ± 0.12	1.1×10^{-3}
$f_0(1710) \rightarrow \pi\pi$	0.15	2.5×10^{-3}

- ▶ Quenched Lattice QCD predicted the J/ψ radiatively decay into scalar glueball with branching ratio :
[\[Long-Cheng Gui et al, CLQCD Collaboration, Phys.Rev.Lett. 110 \(2013\) no.2, 021601 \]](#)

$$Br(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma G_{0++}) = 3.8(9) \times 10^{-3},$$

which suggested the $f_0(1710)$ as scalar glueball candidate.

tensor glueball & $f_2(2340)$

- Flavour blindness of glueball

$$\Gamma(G \rightarrow \pi\pi : K\bar{K} : \eta\eta : \eta\eta' : \eta'\eta') = 3 : 4 : 1 : 0 : 1$$

$$\Gamma(G \rightarrow \eta\eta) / \Gamma(G \rightarrow PP) \sim 10\%$$

- Pseudo-Pseudoscalar final states in tensor glueball decay in D-wave, considering centrifugal barrier effect,

$$\Gamma(G \rightarrow M\bar{M}) = \eta\alpha \frac{k^{2L+1}}{m_G^{2L}} = \frac{\eta\alpha}{m_G} \left(\frac{k}{m_G}\right)^{2L+1}$$

$$\frac{k}{m_G} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2m_M}{G}\right)^2} \sim 0.5 - 0.3$$

tensor glueball & $f_2(2340)$

- ▶ Partial width of Glueball decay into pseudoscalar-pseudoscalar final states suppressed to

$$Br(G_{2+} \longrightarrow PP) \sim O(10\%)$$

- ▶ BESIII results give

$$Br(J/\psi \longrightarrow \gamma f_2(2340) \longrightarrow \eta\eta) = 5.6(2.3) \times 10^{-5}$$

- ▶ Large branch ratio of $f_2(2340)$ in J/ψ decay

$$Br(J/\psi \longrightarrow \gamma f_2(2340)) \sim 10^{-2}$$

- ▶ Consistent with quenched LQCD